



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/832,637		04/11/2001	Alfons Gail	10537/96	10537/96 1822	
26646	7590	12/20/2004		EXAMINER		
KENYON ONE BROA		ON	KYLE, MICHAEL J			
NEW YOR		0004		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•			3676		
				DATE MAII ED: 12/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			16
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- IW
Advisory Action	09/832,637	GAIL ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael J Kyle	3676	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 December 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and the same application and the same application are same applications.	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Th	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date o (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the corresponding amo f the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by t	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
10. Other:	age		
	JJ Sw	ann	

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3600 Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly proposed limitation in the claims the the firstand second positioning arrangement prevent "reversed mounting" of the entire bristle housing presents a new issue that would require further consideration. Examiner notes that the "entire bristle housing" of the present invention includes cover plate (3) and supporting plate (4). Even if the proposed amendment was entered, it would not overcome the teachings of Dierker, as in Dierker, it is impossible to mount the housing (comprised of guide plate 8 and ring 15) in a reversed manner, as ring 15 wold not fit in the recess where guide plate is intended to be placed. In Dierker, guide plate (8) and ring (15) are analogous to the cover plate (3) and suporting plate (4), respectively. The body (7), of Dierker, is analogous to the unnumbered ring portion of the present invention that holds the brush seal brsitles in the housing.